Yingyu Liang UW-Madison

Joint work with Jiefeng Chen, Xi Wu, Vaibhav Rastogi, and Somesh Jha Appear in NeurIPS'2019



Machine Learning Progress

Significant progress in Machine Learning



Computer vision





Machine translation



Game Playing

Medical Imaging

Key Engine Behind the Success



- Training Deep Neural Networks: y = f(x; W)
 - Given training data $\{(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), ..., (x_n, y_n)\}$
 - Try to find W such that the network fits the data







Indoor







Challenges



• Blackbox: not too much understanding/interpretation



Vulnerable to adversaries



Interpretable Machine Learning



• Attribution task: Given a model and an input, compute an attribution map measuring the importance of different input dimensions



Integrated Gradient: Axiomatic Approach



Overview

- List desirable criteria (axioms) for an attribution method
- Establish a uniqueness result: only this method satisfies these desirable criteria
- Inspired by economics literature: *Values of Non-Atomic Games*. Aumann and Shapley, 1974.

Integrated Gradient: Example Results

Top label: jackfruit Score: 0.99591

Top label: school bus

Score: 0.997033



Original image



Original image



Original image



Integrated gradients



Integrated gradients



Integrated gradients



Attribution is Fragile





Interpretation of Neural Networks is Fragile. Amirata Ghorbani, Abubakar Abid, James Zou. AAAI 2019.



• Training for robust attribution: find a model that can get similar attributions for all perturbed images around the training image



n



• Training for robust attribution: find a model that can get similar attributions for all perturbed images around the training image

$$\operatorname{nin}_{\theta} \mathbb{E}[l(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}; \theta) + \lambda * \operatorname{RAR}]$$
$$\operatorname{RAR} = \max_{\boldsymbol{x}' \in \Delta(\boldsymbol{x})} s(\operatorname{IG}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}'))$$
Size function Integrated Gradient



• Training for robust attribution: find a model that can get similar attributions for all perturbed images around the training image

$$\min_{\theta} \mathbb{E}[l(\mathbf{x}, y; \theta) + \lambda * RAR]$$

$$RAR = \max_{x' \in \Delta(x)} s(IG(x, x'))$$

• Two instantiations:

$$|\text{G-NORM} = \max_{x' \in \Delta(x)} ||\text{IG}(x, x')||_1$$

 $\mathsf{IG-SUM}-\mathsf{NORM} = \max_{x' \in \Delta(x)} \big| |\mathsf{IG}(x, x')| \big|_1 + \operatorname{sum}(\mathsf{IG}(x, x'))$

Experiments: Qualitative





Flower dataset

Experiments: Qualitative





MNIST dataset

Experiments: Qualitative





GTSRB dataset

Experiments: Quantitative



- Metrics for attribution robustness
 - 1. Kendall's tau rank order correlation
 - 2. Top-K intersection

Original Image Attribution Map



Perturbed Image Attribution Map



Top-1000 Intersection: 0.1% Kendall's Correlation: 0.2607

Result on Flower dataset





Result on MINST dataset





Result on GTSRB dataset



